If not so styling himslef, Mark has been permitting himself to be billed as "counsel for the defense". Some place I do not recall, in an untypical moment of honesty or a more likely one of caution, he said his agreement with Marguerity Oswald was that if he became convinced of her son's guilt he would withdraw as her counsel. This in itself is an odd concept of defense, if either is the right word.

On WFAN-TV with me the night of June 4, 1968, he acknowledged that even after writing Rush to Judgement he was satisfied that Oswald was not necessarily innocent.

This is entirely inconsistent with his pose, that of his publisher, that of the entire promotion of the book.

And this establishes that I am alone of those writing serious books who from the first proclaimed Oswald's innocence, in itself enough to invalidate this claim for Rush To Judgement and Mark, who is never daunted by lies or misrepresentations, particularly when preceeded by a $ sign.